Spatial and temporal evolution of $b$-values before large earthquakes in Taiwan
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A B S T R A C T

We investigate the spatial and temporal variations of $b$-values before twenty-three earthquakes with $M_\text{L} \geq 6.0$ in the Taiwan region from 1999 to 2009. We estimate the spatial distribution of $b$-values within a one-year period before the occurrence of investigated earthquakes. It shows that the epicenters of those earthquakes are located predominately in the regions with low $b$-values relative to the entire study area. In terms of temporal evolutions, the $b$-values are slightly lower during the year prior to the investigated earthquakes in comparison to the periods two to five years earlier. We propose that these anomalies may offer spatiotemporal constraints for the earthquake forecasting in Taiwan in the future.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The frequency–magnitude distribution (Ishimoto and lida, 1939), also known as the Gutenberg–Richter relation (Gutenberg and Richter, 1954), in a seismogenic volume can be expressed as

$$\log_{10} N = a - bM,$$

where $M$ is magnitude, $N$ is the cumulative number of earthquakes with magnitude larger than $M$, and $a$ and $b$ are constants. The $b$-value represents the ratio of small to large earthquakes. In general, the $b$-value in broad seismic regions is close to 1.0 from global statistics (Lay and Wallace, 1995). For regions in smaller scale over a few to tens of kilometers, the temporal and spatial variations in $b$-values may be significantly large, ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 in Japan (Ogata and Katsura, 1993) and from 0.5 to 1.3 near Parkfield of the San Andreas fault (Schorlemmer et al., 2004).

Variation of $b$-value in a region is commonly correlated with characteristics of regional seismic activities. For example, high seismicity in magma chambers may be characterized by high $b$-values (Sanchez et al., 2004; Wiemer and McNutt, 1997). The initial rupture of large earthquakes, by contrast, was found to occur in regions where $b$-values are low (Wyss and Stefansson, 2006; Wyss et al., 2000). Previous studies (Schorlemmer et al., 2005, and references therein) pointed out that the region with low $b$-value implies large differential stress and suggests its being toward the end of the seismic cycle. Such relationship can be applied for evaluating seismic hazard and earthquake forecasting (Smith, 1981), even though the validity of earthquake prediction experiments remains controversial.

Due to collisions between the Philippine Sea Plate and the Eurasian Plate, numerous earthquakes have been taking place inland and off the east coast of the Taiwan Island. Most significant events that occurred in the past decades in Taiwan were well recorded and carefully studied by governmental bureau and research scientists. The 1999 M 7.3 Chi-Chi earthquake (earthquake No.1 in Fig. 1), for example, is the largest inland earthquake ever recorded in Taiwan. After the devastating Chi-Chi event, the 2003 M 6.4 Chengkung earthquake (earthquake No. 13 in Fig. 1) is the first event that occurred on the Longitudinal Valley fault of east coast with magnitude larger than 6. Interestingly, both events were reported to be preceded by seismicity precursors such as noticeable decreases in regional seismicity rate and $b$-value (Chen, 2003; Chen et al., 2005; Wu and Chiao, 2006; Wu et al., 2008b). Those temporal decreases in regional seismicity rate and $b$-value may be explained by the quiescence in the seismicity with small magnitudes (Huang, 2006; Huang and Nagao, 2002; Huang et al., 2001). A detailed study by Wu et al. (2008b) also showed that the rupture region of the Chengkung earthquake had low $b$-value, similar to the observations in California (Wyss et al., 2000) and Iceland (Wyss and Stefansson, 2006). Those results motivated us to comprehensively investigate the spatial and temporal evolutions of $b$-values associated with the occurrence of large earthquakes in the whole Taiwan region.

2. Seismic network and catalogue

The Central Weather Bureau Seismic Network (CWBSN) is responsible for monitoring regional earthquakes in Taiwan. Since 1991, real-time digital recording has been performed; about 18,000 events each
year in a roughly 400 km × 550 km region are recorded (Wu et al., 2008a). The network currently consists of a central recording system with 71 telemetered stations (Fig. 2). The CWBSN instruments were operated in a triggered-recording mode until the end of 1993 when continuous recording began. P and S waves arrival times are picked manually for determination of earthquake parameters, i.e. hypocenter and Richter local magnitude (ML) (Shin, 1993).

The CWBSN has greatly enhanced the earthquake monitoring capability since the end of 1993 (Wu and Chiao, 2006). To evaluate the reliability of the catalogue, we calculate spatial distribution of magnitude of completeness (Mc) by using the maximum curvature approach (Wiemer and Wyss, 2000). We consider the catalogue in the period from the beginning of 1994 to the end of 2009 for shallow earthquakes (with focal depth ≤ 40 km). We divide our study region into 0.2° × 0.2° grids and search for the events within a circle of 30 km in radius (Fig. 2). The pattern of Mc simply reflects the density of the seismic stations (Mignan et al., 2011). The station densities are the highest in the northern and southwestern Taiwan, where the Mc can be as low as about 1.4. The Mc for the inland region is generally less than 2.0, whereas the Mc in the offshore region is between 2.5 and 3.2 due to poor network coverage. The spatial distribution and magnitudes estimated in this study is consistent with those obtained from the Bayesian magnitude of completeness method (Mignan et al., 2011). To achieve reliable b-values, it is important that we re-evaluate Mc according to the catalogue fulfill the same criterion of each b-value calculation.

3. Distribution of b-values

In this study, we investigate the b-value distributions before large events with Mc greater than or equal to 6.0 and focal depths less than 40 km in the Taiwan region during 1999–2009. To avoid using earthquakes from the same sequence, we keep solely the first shock in a sequence as the investigated earthquake and exclude all other events that occurred within one month after and within inter-epicentral distances of 40 km. There is a total of 23 earthquakes that fulfill (Fig. 1).

We first calculate the regional b-values for events with Mc ≥ Mc and focal depths less than 40 km in the region bounded by latitudes from 21.5°N to 25.5°N and longitudes from 119.5°E to 123.0°E. We divide our study region into 0.2° × 0.2° grids and search for the events within a circle of 30 km in radius, which is comparable to the rupture size for an event with magnitude 6.0 and above and is larger than the uncertainty in location of those earthquakes in the CWBSN catalogue. There are several methods proposed for computing b-values (e.g. Aki, 1965; Shi and Bolt, 1982). In this study, we use a maximum likelihood approach to estimate the b-values and the standard deviation (Aki, 1965) in the grids. The standard deviation (σ) of b-value is as follows:

\[ \sigma = bN^{-1/2}, \]

where N is number of the events used for b-value estimation.

We calculate the spatial distributions of b-values one year prior to the 23 investigated events (Fig. 3). To avoid temporal incompleteness
of catalogue after large earthquakes, we keep all events but exclude only the earthquakes within 24 h following the 23 studied earthquakes (Fig. 1) for $b$-value calculation. In order to acquire reliable results, only cells with more than 100 events within the radius of 30 km are reported. Due to insufficient number of seismicity, no $b$-values are reported in the grids where earthquakes Nos. 7, 12, 15, and 21 initiated. After excluding these disqualified cases, the epicentral $b$-values of 17 out of the remaining 19 (89%) events are lower than 1.0. We further compare the epicentral $b$-value of each investigated earthquake with those of the entire study area. For each event, we estimate the spatial fraction (relative to total area in percentage) that contains $b$-values equal to or lower than the epicentral $b$-value. In totality, we find that 16 out of 19 (84%) earthquakes are in the regions with lower $b$-values than the average in the study region.

We further employ the Molchan diagram to evaluate statistical significance of the argument that large earthquakes tend to occur in low $b$-value regions (Fig. 4). This diagram is originally designed for evaluation of earthquake forecasting ability and is presented as a fraction of space occupied by expectation against fraction of failure to predict (Molchan, 1990, 1991). We present “fraction of space occupied by expectation” as sorted events according to the proportion of study area having a $b$-value equal to or lower than those at the epicenters (presented in parentheses of each panel in Fig. 3). Thus, we define “expectation” as a presumed threshold of the proportion of study area having lower $b$-values within one year prior to occurrences of investigated events. The “fraction of failure to predict” indicates the proportion of the earthquakes with higher fraction of space occupied by expectation. That is to say, when the data points closely distributed along the diagonal line, it suggests distribution of the investigated earthquakes is independent of $b$-values; when a convex is presented, it suggests most of the earthquakes occurred in the areas with higher $b$-values in comparison to the entire area; whereas a concave suggests that most of the earthquakes occurred in the area with
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Fig. 2. Distribution of the magnitude completeness ($M_c$) according to the catalogue acquired from the Central Weather Bureau Seismic Network (CWBSN). The white triangles show the locations of the CWBSN stations. Note that only cells with more than 100 events within the radius of 30 km are reported for reliability.
Fig. 3. Distribution of b-values estimated in one-year prior to the occurrence of 23 investigated earthquakes. Stars show the epicenter of earthquakes. The b-value with corresponding standard deviations in epicenter (lower line) and the study region (upper line) are presented in lower-left corner of each panel. The cells with less than 100 events in radius of 30 km are colored in grey reporting null b. Note that the areas with null b are excluded for calculation. The proportion of study area having a b-value equal to or lower than those at the epicenters of the earthquakes are presented in parentheses of each panel.
lower $b$-values. We confirm that such relationship of the low epicentral $b$-values exists when the standard deviations are taken into account.

In order to present a more reliable result in the Molchan diagram, we consider only the earthquakes in the grids where $b$-values are available. In other words, we do not consider earthquakes Nos. 7, 12, 15, and 21, which took place in grids without reported $b$-values due to insufficient number of seismicity. In the Molchan diagram (Fig. 4), the epicentral $b$-values of investigated earthquakes are significantly lower in comparison to the rest of study area. All of earthquakes are located...
in the region with lower b-values than at least 25% of the entire study area (i.e. 75% of study area having a b-value equal to or lower than those at all of the epicenters of the earthquakes). For the 1999 M=7.3 Chi-Chi earthquake (earthquake No. 1), if we further consider earthquakes within the true ruptured region of ca. 40 km×80 km (Johnson and Segall, 2004) (Fig. 3), the b-value of the entire rupture zone becomes 0.92 (c.f. the epicentral b-value of 1.00 for the Chi-Chi earthquake), which suggests only 40% of the study area having lower b-value than in the rupture zone.

To examine temporal variation of the b-values around epicentral regions, we consider five different time periods prior to the occurrences of the earthquakes (Fig. 5). In order to acquire reliable results, we only consider the investigated earthquakes with more than 100 recordings of the earthquakes. It then drops by ca. 5% within a year before earthquakes. By considering standard deviations, however, the temporal evolutions of the b-values become trivial.

4. Discussions and conclusions

For the Gutenberg–Richter relation, magnitude cutoffs may play an important role for determination of b-value. In order to test the reliability of our results, we present biases of the b-values by considering different magnitude cutoffs for the calculation (Fig. 6). When the differences between magnitude cutoff and Mc are in between −0.1 and +0.5, the results show that change of the b-values are smaller than 0.03. In contrast with the averaged standard deviation of 0.04 for b-values, the biases imported by considering different magnitude cutoffs are insignificant when the cut-off magnitude is close or above Mc. Note that the deviations of the b-value changes become larger when higher magnitude cutoffs are considered. It can be attributed to fewer data for b-value calculations. When lower magnitude cutoffs are considered, systematical lower b-values are acquired, which can be associated with incomplete catalogue. It should be mentioned that since the Mc in this study is acquired by the maximum curvature approach (Wyss and Wyss, 2000), Mc is seldom overestimated, i.e. minimum magnitude should not be smaller than Mc.

Our observations of the relatively low spatial b-values for the epicentral areas are in good agreement with the results presented by Wyss et al. (2000) and Wyss and Stefansson (2006), who argued that the initiations of large earthquakes may be mapped by low b-values. Many studies have considered the b-value as a monitoring index related to forthcoming large earthquakes (e.g. Ogata and Katsura, 1993; Papadopoulos et al., 2010). Main et al. (1989) showed that b-value varies between 0.5 and 1.5 during the earthquake cycle and proposed that critical failure in a large earthquake should occur in regions with b = 0.5. They also discussed b-value evolution for laboratory creep experiments and seismicity during the 1984 Western Nagano, Japan (Figs. 1d and 3 in Main et al. (1989)). Their studies examined decreases in the b-value as stress increases before failure of faults. Further investigation for the southern Californian region also supports that seismicity preceding large main shocks can be associated with low b-values (Henderson et al., 1994).

From previous analysis on the seismicity in the Taiwan region (Wu and Chiao, 2006; Wu et al., 2008b), the relatively low seismicity rate and the temporal decrease in the b-values before large earthquakes in Taiwan may be considered as a forecasting indicator associated with the quiescence in the seismicity with small magnitudes. Our results further show that the 2003 Chengkung earthquake (earthquake No. 13) took place in a grid with a b-value of 0.99, which is lower than 72% of the region (Fig. 3), and is consistent with the observations reported in Wu et al. (2008b). We also found that several earthquakes, both inland and offshore of Taiwan, have
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fairly low epicentral $b$-values (Fig. 3). One of the lowest values is found to be 0.73 for the earthquake No. 22 as shown in Fig. 3. Overall, the epicentral $b$-values for 17 out of 19 (89%) events are lower than 1.0. Through the Molchan diagram (Fig. 4), we show that the low $b$-values in epicenter regions are statistically significant.

It is worth noting that the epicenter of the 1999 $M_L$7.3 Chi-Chi earthquake is in a region with an unexpectedly high $b$-value of 1.00 in the year prior to the shock (earthquake No. 1 in Fig. 3). If we evaluate the $b$-value in its rupture area, which is acquired by Johnson and Segall (2004), we find a somewhat low $b$-value of 0.92, which correspond to 40% of study area with lower $b$-value. This implicitly suggests that decreases in $b$-value, which imply an increase in stress (Main et al., 1989), may take place in the entire rupture fault zones of large earthquakes, but not at the rupture initiations.

We also evaluate temporal variations of the $b$-values before the occurrences of investigated earthquakes (Fig. 5). It shows that epicentral $b$-values (0.90–0.93) are already lower than in broad seismic regions during the time windows of five to one year prior to the

---

Fig. 5. Average of epicentral $b$-values (solid lines) and standard deviations (dash lines) for the 23 investigated earthquakes in different time windows. In order to acquire reliable results, we only consider the investigated earthquakes with more than 100 events within the radius of 30 km in the time windows.

Fig. 6. Change of epicentral $b$-values caused by different magnitude cutoffs for the investigated earthquakes. The solid line and dash lines represent mean and standard deviation (0.03) of $b$-value calculation when magnitude cutoff is equal to $M_c$, respectively. Open circles represent $b$-value differences using different magnitude cutoffs for the investigated earthquakes. Solid circles and error bars represent average difference and standard deviation of the change, respectively, using different magnitude cutoffs.
target earthquake (where b-value from global statistics is close to 1.0 (Lay and Wallace, 1995)). Further drop of b-values by 4% is observed for one year before the investigated earthquakes, although this evolution is relative small in comparison with the standard deviation. This suggests that monitoring the evolution of b-values may provide spatial and temporal constraints for the forthcoming strong earthquakes consistently in both of long-term and short-term periods.
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